

Client Satisfaction Measurement Report

2023 (1st Edition)



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Client Satisfaction Survey Report January to June 2023 (Old Form)

- Overview
- II. Scope
- III. Methodology
- IV. Data and Interpretation
- Annex A. Survey Questionnaire Used
- Annex B. CSM Results Per Office (Consolidated)

Annex C. Justification for not using the Harmonized Survey Form

Client Satisfaction Survey Report July to December 2023 (Harmonized Form)

- Overview
- II. Scope
- Methodology
- IV. Data and Interpretation
- V. Results of the Agency Action Plan
- VI. Continuous Agency Improvement Plan
- Annex A. Survey Questionnaire Used
- Annex B. CSM Results Per Office (Consolidated)



CUSTOMER/CLIENT SATISFACTIONSURVEY (CSS) REPORT January to June 2023

Overview

A genuine understanding of customers' needs and expectations is a key driver in providing customer satisfying services. Agencies and organizations with strong customer-satisfaction reputations pursue this level of understanding to continually achieve a government-wide improvement. In order to ensure that these requirements are met, it is critical to measure and report on the degree of satisfaction of citizens/clients serviced.

Client Satisfaction Survey/Report is one of the instruments of the government in order to encourage improvements in efficiency and effectiveness and increase citizen's trust in government. This report includes verifiable data and concrete proof to help agencies assess the impact of implemented transaction and process changes using indicators or service dimensions selected by agencies and the citizens/clients they serve, Customer satisfaction surveys, and complaint management techniques, are important to better understand the clienteles' needs. Furthermore, suggestions from customers and employees introduce fresh ideas to government processes.

II. Scope

SLSU conducted surveys using the old CSM tool from January-June 2023 and was administered by offices/units with external clients. A total of 1, 803 clients was surveyed during the said period.

SLSU used CSM questionnaire that includes 8 questions related to the following Service Quality Dimensions (SQD):

- Responsiveness courteousness and willingness of the personnel to serve and whether the appropriate response to customer requests were provided.
- Reliability the delivery of service within the minimum waiting time or promised timeframe and there is an observance of empathy and reassurance to the client's concern/s.
- Access and Facilities readability and clarity of directional signages and office labels as well as the availability and accessibility of technology.
- d. Communication knowledge, and competence of personnel to answer the client's queries or resolve issues and as well as to the clarity of information or advise provided.
- e. Costs availability of alternative methods of payment relevant to the needs of the client/s and at a reasonable cost.
- f. Integrity commitment of the personnel in addressing the client's needs and check whether the personnel perform the duties in a professional manner.
- g. Assurance skills of the personnel provided and whether the personnel are friendly and courteous to the clients.
- h. Outcome resolution of the problem/s or any concern/s directed to the office, completeness of the services availed, satisfaction of the clients in the services rendered and meets the clients' needs or expectations.

III. Methodology

The Client Satisfaction Survey offers an objective performance that acts as the foundation for personnel actions and to continually stimulate improvement in employees' performance, efficiency, and organizational effectiveness and productivity. As a university procedure, the



clerk of the college/unit that a client visited will hand a copy of the Client Satisfaction Survey form upon completing the transaction. The client then hand it back to the clerk after rating the feedback form for the specific college or office that was visited. The customer(s) may also place the feedback form in the suggestion box located at the office or department on university propertyss. Every six months, the individuals in charge of each unit/ office would compile the completed survey forms, produce a summary, and submit it to the HR Office to assess if the services were Outstanding, Very Satisfactory, or Satisfactory. For transactions that are done remotely, units/offices were given the option to survey the clients through online.

Sampling Procedure

Random sampling was used as the selection technique because it is the most widely used, convenient, and simple way to collect data. With this sampling procedure, each client has an equal or fair probability of being chosen.

Survey Instrument / Questionnaire

Southern Luzon State University created the Customer / Client Satisfaction Survey (CSS) in order to comprehend client needs and requirements, meet those needs, as well as determine the level of satisfaction with the services offered. This information is crucial for reviews and ongoing enhancements of the university's services. The Customer Client Satisfaction Survey was revised in compliance with the Memorandum Circular No. 2021-1 by the Inter-Agency Task Force on the Harmonization of National Government Performance Monitoring, Information and Reporting Systems issued last June 3, 2021. With this, the Performance Management Committee in conjunction with the Quality Management System Office (QMSO) of SLSU amended the Customer / Client Satisfaction Survey and entered the revised feedback form with the QMSO dated October 4, 2021 for standardization (Refence No. 21-051). The Customer / Client Satisfaction Survey form was approved by the University President last October 27, 2021 for university-wide adoption

The interval below was used to calculate the satisfaction results using a five-point scale:

Five-Point Scale	Interval	Verbal
5	4.50-5.00	Outstanding
4	3.50-4.49	Very Satisfactory
3	2.50-3.49	Satisfactory
2	1.50-2.49	Fair
1	1.00-1.49	Poor



RESULTS OF THE CUSTOMER/CLIENT SATISFACTION SURVEY 2023

IV. Data and Interpretation

The results and related ratings from the tabulated results of all the survey forms are listed below.

Client Satisfaction Survey Results based on the Service Quality Dimensions (SQD)

Service Quality Dimensions	Score in All Offices	Verbal
Responsiveness	4.73	Outstanding
Reliability .	4.68	Outstanding
Access and Facilities	4.66	Outstanding
Communication	4.74	Outstanding
Costs	4.81	Outstanding
Integrity	4.75	Outstanding
Assurance	4.77	Outstanding
Outcome	4.74	Outstanding

The findings of the client survey were grouped according to Service Quality Dimensions (SQD). The data supplied shows that all the service characteristics have high ratings, ranging from 4.66 to 4.81 equivalent to Outstanding.

Client Satisfaction Survey Results of Offices with External Clients

No.	Name of Office	January- June 2023	Descriptive Rating
1	Accounting Office	4.75	Outstanding
2	Office of Student Affairs Services	4.88	Outstanding
3	University Library	4.73	Outstanding
4	University Registrar	4.65	Outstanding
5	Supply Office	5.00	Outstanding
6	University Health Services	4.53	Outstanding
7	Procurement Office	5.00	Outstanding
8	Cashiering Office	4.96	Outstanding
9	Innovation and Technology Support Services Office	4.52	Outstanding
OVE	RALL	4.73	Outstanding

As shown in table above the Client Satisfaction Survey results for specific offices range from 4.53 to 5.00, which is OUTSTANDING. Offices achieved an overall grade of 4.73, which is considered Outstanding.



Annex A. Survey Questionnaire Used

our	CUSTOMER/ CLIENT SATISFACTI e with our commitment to understand your requirements and seek to satisfy satisfaction on the services we have provided. Your response will be a vital of our Quality Management Systems.	rour needs consist	ently, we would	like to know the leve mprovement of our se	of envices
	sincerely appreciate your cooperation. Thank You!				
	Cells	ge/ Campus/ Offic	el Company		
			_	Date:	
osi	tion (optional):				
toac	se Indicate your satisfaction by placing a check (*) on the listed criteria below usit 1 – Poor, 2 – Fair, 3 – Satisfactory, 4 – Very S				
	PERFORMANCE CRITERIA	1	SATISF 2	ACTION LEVEL	5
	Responsiveness		1		
-	Provide appropriate response to customer requests				
-	b. Courteousness and willingness of the personnel to serve				
2.	Reliability		1		İ
	a. Delivery of service within the minimum waiting time (promised time-fram	ne)		1 1	
-	provided by the office b. Observance of empethetic and reassuring personnel/office to the client	s	+	1	-
3.	concern Access & Facilities		-		-
_	27. (32.2.) (3.10)		-		+-
	a. Readability and clarity of directional signages and office labels		-		+
4.	Availability and accessibility of technology (internet, WI-FI) Communication		4		1
4.	Knowledge and competence of personnel to answer client's queries an	d raphia			-
	issues (in case there is any)	G TESOIVE			
	b. Clarity of the information or advice provided				
5.	Costs				1
	a. Cost is reasonable				_
	b. Availability of alternative methods of payment relevant to the needs of	dient			
6.	Integrity				1
-	a. Commitment of personnel in addressing client's needs				
-	b. Professionalism of personnel in performing their duties	-			
7.	Assurance				
-	a. Friendly and courteous to clients				
-	b. Have the skills required to perform service				
8.	Outcome			-	
	The requested services availed was complete and meet the clients need expectation.	i or			
	b. Resolution of a problem or any concern directed to the office				
	c. Satisfaction of clients in the services rendered by the Office.				
1	Remarks/ Comments:				

Annex B. CSM Results per Office (please see next page)

1 Responsibilities (Seringery - June 2023) Performance Criteria						
Provide entresis (50%)		S	Satisfaction Level	Level		-
b Courteousness and willingness of the	- 0	Virginia (3	4	5	TOTAL
2 Reliability (10%)		0	-	263	73	100
a Delivery of service within the minimum waiting time (promised time for		0	0	23	77	100
b Observance of empathetic and reassuring personnel/office to the client's concern	0	0	0	4:3	57	
a Readability and clarify of directional size.	0	0	0	30	70	100
b Availability and accessibility of technology (internet, WI-FI)	0	0	2	29	69	007
a Knowledge and compatence of	0	0	4	34	629	1001
b Clarity of the information or advice provided	0	0	0	23	i i	
Note: This parameter is such countried.	0	0	0	23	777	100
a Cost is reasonable						
D Availability of alternative methods of payment relevant to the needs of client	0	0	2	30	32	
Commitment of personnel in additional in add	0	0	1	2.4	75	100
b Professionalism of personnel in performing their statistics						
Assurance (10%)		0	-	23	70	100
Friendly and courteous to clients		0	-	13	81	100
Have the skills required to perform service	0	0	0	Cic		
o Outcome(10%) a The requested services availed was services.	0	0	0	10	90	100
Resolution of a problem or any concern directed to the office.	0	0	0	2.0	Co	
c loadsfaction of clients in the services rendered by the Office.	0	0	0	130	87	100
	0	-	0			100

Accounting		5	750.00	+	55 00 4.04	-	715.00 4.70	7	-		
1 2 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	on Level	4	+	-	-	-			-	196.00 12	ATSTUC
1 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.	Satisfacti	3	3.00	-				+	0.00	0.00	
		2	00.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0000	0.00	
Accounting (January- June 2:023) PERFORMANCE CRITERIA		-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0	00.00	0000	0.00	

1 Responsiveness (36%)		S	alisfaction	lovel		
a Provide appropriate response to customer requests	-	2	60		u	1000
D Courteousness and willingness of the personnel to serve	0	0	1		707	TOTAL
Z Netrability (10%)	0	0	-	- 0	171	132
a Delivery of service within the minimum waiting time (mornised time, freely			-	0	125	132
b Observance of empathetic and reassuring personnel/office to the control of the office	0	0	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \			
3 Access & Facilities (10%)	-	7	4	9	122	132
a Readability and clarity of directional circumstances		-	-	(۲)	128	132
b Availability and accessfully of the state of the labels						
4 Communication (100kg) or recuirology (the net, WI-FI)	0	0	0	7	125	420
Knowledge Control (1000)	0	0	0	(7)	129	490
answer client's queries and resolve issues (in case there is					200	
5 Costs (1002)	0	0	0	9	128	2
1000 (1000)	0	0	0	I.	420	25
Cost is reasonable						3
	0	0	0	J	123	1
mognity (10%)	0	0	C	, u	402	132
Commitment of personnel in addressing client's needs				,	171	132
7 Accuracy (April)	0	0	0	y.	127	100
Friendly and extension 1.2.1	0	0	0	1 43	127	132
A Control of Charles to Clients						77
8 Outcome (100)	0	0	0	2	123	0
10.00	0	0	-	i es	120	32
The legitistical services availed was complete and meet the clients need or expectation					671	132
	0	0	0	100	130	400
Capacitation of clients in the services rendered by the Office.	0	0	2	(0)	127	701
	0	0	0		131	132
Cachier						
(January-June 2023)						
PER			Satisfaction Level	lavalu		
Kesponsiveness	-	2	-	10400		

	5	-	+	+	1200.00	1	4.98	1	1	10.00 4.97	JOING A OR
n Level	4	+	36 00 12	+	24 00 42	+	+	+	+	1.00	OUTSTANDING
Satisfaction Level	3	-		-	00.00	+	-	+	+	-	
and and	2	0.00	2.00	0.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00		
	-	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		100
(January-June 2023) PERFORMANCE CRITERIA		The second secon					The second secon				

Responsiveness (30%)						
a Provide antinomide		Sa	Satisfaction Level	Level		
b Courteousness and willingness of the		100	3	4	5	TOTAL
2 Reliability (10%)	0	0	2	4:5	255	302
a Delivery of service within the minimum weiting the	0	0	0	30	272	302
and reassuring personnel/office to the	0	0	u	4.2		
3 Access & Facilities (10%)			0	47	250	302
a Readability and clarity of directional signages and office levels				339	262	302
A Communication (1988)	0	0	-	22	267	
Sometime and (10%)	0	0	14	5 2	220	302
b Carity of the information of personnel to answer client's queries and resolve issues (in case there is any				3	007	
5 Costs (10%)	+	0	3	3.2	267	CUE
Note: This perameter in only applicable to the General	0	0	-	30	27.1	302
a Cost is reasonable Costs and Library Controls Accounting, Budgel, Cashier, Propurement, OSAS, and Library	d Library					
D Availability of alternative methods of payment relevant to the peads of alternative	0	0	u	44.7		
b Integrity (10%)	0		0	47	250	36
a Commitment of personnel in addressing client's needs			2	4.1	258	302
7 Assurance (10%)	0	0	2	20	280	100
a Friendly and courteous to cliante	0	0	2	16	284	302
b Have the skills required to perform service	0	0	-	i		
s Ourcome(10%)	0		-	92	281	302
a The requested services availed was complete and meet the clients need or expectation.				14	287	302
c Satisfaction of clients in the source directed to the office	0	0	0	3.1	271	200
and a services rendered by the Office.		0	2	23	272	302
the females and the second sec	0	0		30	27.1	302

	MATON	MAA	4.87	4.64	4.80	4.00	4.93	4.94	4.90	407
	7	2025 00	2523,00	2485.00	2690.00	2540 00	2820.00	2840.00	4070.00	CHICANDING
Satisfaction Level	4	300 00	344 00	368 00	248 00	352.00	144.00	136.00	356.00	SINC
Satisfac	8	6.00	18.00	45.00	12.00	24.00	12.00	6.00	9.00	
	2	0.00	00'0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	000	0.00	
Services										
(January-June 2023) PERFORMANCE CRITERIA	The second secon									

1 Responsiveness (30%)						
a Provide appropriate reconse to	-		Satisfaction Level	Level		
b Courteousness and willingness of the parent	-	2	m	4	5	TOTAL
2 Reliability (10%)		0	0	U	10	
a Delivery of service within the minimum waiting time (promised till		0	0	3	10	
b Observance of empathetic and reassuring one of the part of the office	0					
3 Access & Facilities (10%)			0	0	10	
a Readability and clarity of directional signages and office beat		0	0	S	10	
b Availability and accessibility of fechnology the man acc	C					
Communication (10%)	0	0	0	U	10	
Knowledge and competence of paragraph 1	0	0	0	0	10	
Clarity of the information or advice provided	0					
5 Costs (10%)				U	10	
Note: This parameter is only explicable to the following offices: Accounting, Budget Carbber and		0	0	2	10	
Aveilebility of all (Shiraly Aveilebility of all (Shiraly Aveilebility of all (Shiraly Shiraly						
6 Integrity (10%)	0	0	0	3	40	
8 Commitment of personnel in 111	0	0	0	U	10	0 9
Professionalism of paragraph in audiessing client's needs						
7 Assurance 400s.	0	0	0	0	40	
a Friendly and courte on the state of the st	0	0	0	C	5 0	2 2
ave the cities confidence to clients						10
R Outcome date to perform service	0	0	0	0	0.4	
	0	0		٥١٥	2	10
a The requested services availed was complete and meet the clients need at				اد	10	10
I resolution of a problem or any concern directed to the office	0	0	0			
Satisfaction of clients in the services rendered by the Office	0	0	0	رد	10	10
The state of the Chick.				٥	10	10
		0	0	ر	40	0.

	-	+	+	+	-	+	100.00	100.00	150.00 5.00	-
Saffetantion Loud	d d	00.0	000	000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	OUTST
Saffefaci	3	000	0.00	000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	
	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	00.00	0.00	
	1	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	1	1			1	1	1	1		
(January-June 2023) PERFORMANCE CRITERIA	AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER				The second secon					

1 Responsiveness (30%)						
a Provide appropriate recognition		7-1-1	Satisfaction Level	Level		
b Courteousness and willingness of the	- 0	2	3	4	5	TOTAL
2 Reliability (10%)	0	4	31	83	411	628
a Delivery of service within the minimum waiting time (promised fine)		4	19	73	433	529
b Observance of empathetic and reasonaires near the contract of the office						
3 Access & Facilities (10%)		4	2.1	94	410	529
a Readability and clarity of directional signature and office in the		4	23	7.3	424	529
b Availability and accessibility of technology (internet, MILE)	0	0	000	0		
4 Communication (10%)		1 4	07	162	397	529
Knowledge and competence of nersonnal to again.		C	42	116	366	629
b Clarity of the information or advice provided						
		2	24	7.3	430	629
smeter is only applicable to the federates.		2	21	89	417	629
Cost is reasonable Cost is reasonable Cashin, Prontement, OS43, and Library						
lemative methods of payment relevant to the needs of all	0	0		5	-	
o integrity (10%)	0			٥	0	
a Commitment of personnel in addressing client's needs					0	
princessionalism of personnel in performing their duties	0	-	NC.	000		
Assurance (10%)	c	-	47	0.5	421	529
Friendly and courteous to clients		-	20	83	420	629
I Have the skills required to perform service	0	2	20	270	4,000	
	0	c	40	Co	774	529
a The requested services availed was complete and meet the clients need as			2	80)	428	629
Resolution of a problem or any concern directed to the office	0	2	00	100		
Satisfaction of clients in the services rendered by the Office	0	1 -	27	8 8	410	529
The state of the s	C		47	3.5	412	529
	2			7.0	1000	

	F. MARIN	-	170.00 4.74	-	4235.00 4.6	1	205.00 4 75			
level n	4	624 00 4	+	+	648 00 4	-	1	660.00 42	60.00 6255.00	OUTSTA
Satisfaction Level	3	150.00	-	-	135.00 6	-	132.00 6	\dashv	201.00 10	
	2	16,00	-	-	8.00	H	+	10.00	-	
	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	000	0.00	00.00	00'0	
University Library (January-June 2023) PERFORMANCE CRITERIA		the second secon								

(January-June 2023) Performance Criteria						
a Provide appropriate recent		Saf	Satisfaction Level	evel		
Courteousness and willingness of the courteousness of the courteousness and willingness of the courteousness of the co	-	Joseph .	3	4	5	TOTAL
2 Reliability (10%)		0	22	43	111	18,
Delivery of service within the minimum waiting time (name)		0	15	51	115	181
b Observance of empathelic and reascuring time (Fraultised time-frame) provided by the office		4				
3 Access & Facilities (10%)		0	40	43	93	181
Participant of the second of t	0	0	22	50	409	18
b Availability and accessibility of technology distance with the						
4 Communication (10%)			130	52	110	181
Knowledge and competence of netconnet to	0	0	29	49	103	189
b Clarity of the information or advice provided		Į,				
5 Costs (10%)		0	21	4.1	119	184
ote: This perameter is only applicable to the Johnson as	0	0	21	42	118	18.1
Cost is reasonable						
B Integration of alternative methods of payment relevant to the needs of oliver	0	0	0			
5	0			ار	0	
a Commitment of personnel in addressing client's needs				٥	0	
Activated (1902)	0	0	19	46	0.7.7	
Science (10%)	0	0	+ 2	40	175	181
a Friendly and courteous to clients		,	2	4.5	123	181
Trave the skills required to perform service	0	0	17	Asi	3	
	0	0	12	64	119	181
The requested services availed was complete and meet the clients peed or				3/	127	181
Resolution of a problem or any concern directed to the office.	0	0	200			
Satisfaction of clients in the services rendered by the Office			777	43	116	18
and the course			07	43	118	200
The state of the s	0	0	20	CIV	110	

	5	1130.00	1010.00	1065.00	1185.00		1195.00 4.57	1230.00 4.59	5.00 1735.00 4.52	
laval de	4	396.00	392.00	404.00	332.00	00000	326.00	00.87	536.00	TSTUC
Satisfaction Lavel	3		186.00	-	126.00	+	102.00	+	-	
	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0	000	000	00.0	0.00	
	-	0.00	00.0	0000	00.00	0.00	0.00	000	0.00	
ICES OFFICE (ITSSO)		Comment								
(January-June 2023) PERFORMANCE CRITERIA										

1 Responsiveness (30%)						
a Provide appropriate response to a set of the second second set of the second second second set of the second seco		200	Satisfaction Level	Level		-
b Courteousness and willingness of the passage to	- 0	2	3	4	5	TOTAL
2 Reliability (10%)		0	5	15	55	
a Delivery of service within the minimum waiting time (promised time)		0	8	1.1	55	
D Observance of empathetic and reassuring personnel/office to the client's concern	0	0	9	2.5	12.4	
Redional circums	0	0	4	20	10	-
b Availability and accessibility of technology (internet, WI-FI)	0	0	5	20	95	
a Knowledce and comnetence of parts	0	0	80	2.2	40	
b Clarity of the information or advice provided	0	0	c	O.F.		
Note: This parameter is only explicable to the following action.	0	0	3 8	14	58	
Cost is reasonable						
6 Integrity 14093	0	0	2	2.2	40	
	0	0	6	38	46	
b Professionalism of personnel in addressing client's needs					40	
Assurance (10%)	0	0	7	U)	59	20
Friendly and courteous to clients	0	0	5	O)	61	
b Have the skills required to neuform continued.						
8 Outcome(10%)	0	0	2	45	58	
he requested services availed was something.	0	0	3	17	500	
b Resolution of a problem or any concern directed to the clients need or expectation.	0	-				
C Satisfaction of clients in the services read at the confidence of the services at the services	000	0	9	Ę	58	75
State of the Office.		0	2	113	55	75
the control of the co	_	0	v	415		

	3.00 550.00 4.68 3.00 450.00 4.59 3.00 450.00 4.59 3.00 450.00 4.70 3.00 555.00 4.70 3.00 600.00 4.72 3.00 580.00 4.72 3.00 680.00 4.72 3.00 680.00 4.72
Satisfaction Level	3 4 24.00 128.00 30.00 168.00 39.00 188.00 18.00 132.00 42.00 168.00 36.00 72.00 15.00 116.00 39.00 176.00
	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0
ERIA	
Registrar's Office anuary-June 2023) ORIMANCE CRITERIA	
Registrar's Office (January-June 2023) PERFORMANCE CRITERIA	
	2 Refiability (Quality) 3 Access and Facilities 4 Communication 5 Costs 6 Integrity 7 Assurance 8 Outcome

a Provide appropriate response to customer requests						
Compound of the letties is			Satisfaction Level	evel		
Courtectualess and Willingness of the personne to	- -	2	3	d	5	TOTAL
2 Reliability (10%)	0 0		3	6.2	145	
a Delivery of service within the minimum waiting time (promised time)		0	4	515	150	210
= 1 11	0	0	14	000		
TO TO TO	0		1	063	131	210
Readability and clarity of directional signages and office lahele			0	19	144	210
A Communication (400)	0	0	a	60		
Knowledge and	0	0	0	60	148	210
b Carity of the information or addice					0	
5 Costs (10%)	0	0	4	55	151	100
Note: This parameter in only applicable to the following offices: Accounting, Burlant, Carbier, Browning	0	0	9	40	164	210
Availability of alternative mail.						
6 Integrity (10%)	0	0	0		0	
a Commitment of personnel in addressing client's needs	0	0	0	O	0	
2 A consistency personnel in performing their duties	0					
Assurance (10%)		0 0	7	53	150	210
Friendly and courteous to clients	-		3	5:3	154	210
8 Outcome(10%)	0	0	2	5.3	460	
a The requested services availed was complete and meet the clients need or sweet the	0	0	0	40	138	210
Satisfaction of clients in the concern directed to the office	0 0		4	563	450	ľ
and the services rendered by the Office.	1		5	59	146	210
and the same of th	0 0	-	4	53	148	270

	İ	5 WM 75.00 4.69	740.00 4.62		11	.00 4.68	
		+	224.00 740		1 1	692.00 2220.00	OUTSTANDING
	Satisfaction Level	++	18.00 22	-	15.00 44	+	
	2	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	
	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
OSAS (Confirmation and Admission of Students)	PERFORMANCE CRITERIA						
	1 Responsiveness	3 Access and Facilities	5 Costs	6 Integrity 7 Assurance	8 Outcome		

University Hetath Services (January-June 2023)						
			of the Party of th			
a Provide appropriate response to customer remineds	-		adistaction Level	n Level		
D Courteousness and willingness of the personnel to seaso	- 0	7 0	60	4	5	TOTAL
Z Kellability (10%)	0 0	2	9	80	139	080
Delivery of service within the minimum waiting time (premised time	7	-	9	8-	140	230
and reassuring personnel/office to the	0	c	-			
	4 -	200	-	8.7	137	230
a Readability and clarity of directional signages and office lobals		3	5	83	133	230
D Availability and accessibility of technology (internet WILE)	-	0				
4 Communication (10%)	- 0	7	4	8.7	136	230
	L 6.	2	9	83	131	230
D. Clarity of the information or advice provided	-	c				
J Costs (10%)	-	7	4	90	133	200
	7	4	7	92	125	230
a Cost is reasonable Avoilebility of the Avoil						
6 Integrity (10%)	0	0	0	-		
8 Commitment of present it.	0	0	C			0
b Professionalism of personnel in addressing client's needs				٥	0	0
7 Assurance (10%)	2	8	-	865	130	
a Friendly and courteous to clients	-	-	3	883	437	230
b Have the skills required to perform service					101	730
8 Outcome(10%)	-	2	2	88	135	230
The requested services availed was complete and meet the		3	2	883	136	230
Diresolution of a problem or any concern directed to the office.	-					
c Satisfaction of clients in the services rendered by the Office	7	20	3	87	136	230
The state of the s		200	2	8.4	140	230
	-	7	2	88	136	230
University Helath Services						
(January-June 2023)						
1 Responsiveness			Safisfaction Level	on Level		
2 Reliability (Quality)	-	2	3	4	R	14/60
3 000000 000 000	200	8.00	00000	1	2	WW

	5 WM 1395.00 4.53 1350.00 4.53 1335.00 4.52 1290.00 4.55 1360.00 4.55 2060.00 4.55 ANDING 4.55
	Satisfaction Level 3 4 36.00 644.00 18.00 700.00 30.00 700.00 33.00 728.00 12.00 696.00 12.00 1040.00
	2 6.00 12.00 12.00 12.00 10.00 16.00
	3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00
University Helath Siervices (January-June 2023)	PERFORMANCE CRITERIA
	1 Responsiveness 2 Reliability (Quality) 3 Access and Facilities 4 Communication 5 Costs 6 Integrity 7 Assurance 8 Outcome

1 Responsiveness (30%) Performance Criteria						
a Provide appropriate response to distance.		-	Satisfaction Level	evel		
b Courteousness and willingness of the personnel to care	- 0	2	3	4	5	TOTAL
Z Reliability (10%)	0 0	0	0	J	34	
a Delivery of service within the minimum waiting time (promised time, from		0	0	C	34	
D Observance of empathetic and reassuring personnel/office to the client's provided by the office	0	0	0	(
Readability and 110%)	0	0		٥	34	
Availability and clarify of directional signages and office labels				اد	34	
4 Communication (40%)	0	0	0	c		
(howledge and compagned	0	0	0	ي د	35	
b Clarity of the information or a disconnel to answer client's queries and resolve issues (in case there is				2	35	
5 Costs (10%)	0	0	0	0	70	
Note: This parameter is only amaly-able to an analy-	0	0	0	3	37	
Cost is reasonable Cast of the state of the					90	
Iternative methods of navment release to a	-					
b Integrity (10%)	1					
a Commitment of personnel in addressing client's needs						
Professionalism of personnel in performing their duffer		-				
Assurance (10%)			0	0	34	2.4
Friendly and courteous to clients		0	0	ن	34	34
b Have the skills required to perform service		-				
8 Outcome (10%)	0	0	0	U	34	-
a The requested services availed was commeted and a services availed was commeted as a services availed was commeted as a services are a services as a services are a services as a services as a services are a services as a services are a services as a service as a		0	0	ت	34.	24
Resolution of a problem or any concern directed to the case.		-	1			2
Satisfaction of clients in the services rendered to the office	0 0	0	0	3	34.	0
and the other.	0 0	0	0	J	37	24
	0	0	0	-		24

	5 VVM 340.00 5.00 340.00 5.00 340.00 5.00 340.00 5.00	0.00 340.00 5.00 0.00 340.00 5.00 0.00 510.00 5.00 OUTSTANDING 5.00
Saffefaction Love	3 4 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	00.0 00.0 00.0 00.0 TUC
Ö	2 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00
	0.00	0.00
Supply and Property Office (January-June 2023) PERFORMANCE CRITERIA		
1.1		1 1 1



INTERPRETATION OF SUBMITTED PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF CLIENT SATISFACTION ON SLSU EXTENSION ACTIVITIES FOR JANUARY TO JUNE 2023

The Office of Extension Services (OES) focuses on community development through trainings, seminars, and workshops. These activities primarily engage community members, and as such, OES employs an evaluation tool tailored to its clientele. These forms are enrolled as REP-EXT-1.02F3b Overall Activity Evaluation, and REP-EXT-1.02F3a Pangkalahatang Pagtatasa, which are individually accomplished by the participants of the community extension activities, trainings, and seminar.

(Please see the attached sample evaluation form as well as an accomplished evaluation form)

An SLSU extension activity is evaluated through various criteria including: Attainment of the objectives; Relevance of Topics; Methodologies used; Instructional/Presentation Aids; Management team/ secretariat; Participation of participants; Time allocation per topic/activity; Activity started and finished as scheduled; Venue; Food; and Accommodation. These criteria are graded into Excellent; Very Satisfactory; Satisfactory; Fair, and Poor. Subsequently, the completsed evaluation forms are compiled and summarized into tabular data, by the Project/Activity management team.

With this, office has provided a summary of evaluation percentages for all extension activities undertaken by the office, colleges, and campuses from January to June 2023. Among 53 extension activities assessed, the breakdown is as follows:

- A total 1,432 individuals rated the activities
- An average of 86.02% gave an Excellent rating.
- An average of 10.98% gave a Very Satisfactory rating.
- An average of 3.00% gave a Satisfactory rating.
- No participants rated any activities as Fair or Poor.

Prepared by:

MERJUDIO, JAQUELYN D.
Project Development Officer, OES

Noted by:

LEOMAR C. MIANO, PhD Director, Office of Extension Services



OVERALL ACTIVITY EVALUATION

e:		Venue: _					
Please answer the questrovement in the succeeding		e Secretariat	o assess	the acti	vity and	identify a	reas for
What I appreciate in the state of the s	nis activity?						
2. What I did not appreci	ate in this activity?						
3. Most useful topic to m	e/activity to me?		-				
4. Least useful topic to m	ne/activity to me?						X-01-00
5. Suggestions for impro	vement:						
Suggested topic/ training future	ing/ activities for the						
 Rate of the resource p Satisfactory; 2) Fair 	; 1) Poor. Please put c	heck (√).		cellent;	4) Very	Satisfacto	ory;
Topics	Resource Per	son(s) / Train	er(s)		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	RATING	;
				5	4	3	2
My rating for the following							-
Particular	S	5	4	3	3	2	1
Particular a. Attainment of the obje	S	5	4	3	3	2	1
Particular a. Attainment of the obje b. Relevance of Topics	S	5	4	3	В	2	1
Particular a. Attainment of the obje b. Relevance of Topics c. Methodologies used	s ctives	5	4	3	В	2	1
Particular a. Attainment of the obje b. Relevance of Topics	s ctives	5	4	3	3	2	1
Particular a. Attainment of the obje b. Relevance of Topics c. Methodologies used	s ctives tion Aids	5	4	3	3	2	1
Particular a. Attainment of the obje b. Relevance of Topics c. Methodologies used d. Instructional/Presenta	tion Aids	5	4	3	3	2	1
Particular a. Attainment of the obje b. Relevance of Topics c. Methodologies used d. Instructional/Presenta e. Management team/ se f. Participation of partici	tion Aids ecretariat pants	5	4	3	3	2	1
Particular a. Attainment of the obje b. Relevance of Topics c. Methodologies used d. Instructional/Presenta e. Management team/ se f. Participation of particity g. Time allocation per to	tion Aids ecretariat pants pic/activity	5	4	3	3	2	1
Particular a. Attainment of the obje b. Relevance of Topics c. Methodologies used d. Instructional/Presenta e. Management team/ se f. Participation of partici g. Time allocation per to h. Activity started and fin	tion Aids ecretariat pants pic/activity	5	4	3	3	2	1
Particular a. Attainment of the obje b. Relevance of Topics c. Methodologies used d. Instructional/Presenta e. Management team/ se f. Participation of partici g. Time allocation per to h. Activity started and fin i. Venue	tion Aids ecretariat pants pic/activity	5	4	3	3	2	1
Particular a. Attainment of the obje b. Relevance of Topics c. Methodologies used d. Instructional/Presenta e. Management team/ se f. Participation of partici g. Time allocation per to h. Activity started and fin	tion Aids ecretariat pants pic/activity	5	4	3	3	2	1

REP-EXT-1.02F3c.Rev.4 Effective: 09/16/22



PANGKALAHATANG PAGTATASA

1.	Pakisagutan ang mga katan nay/aktibidad.	ingon linong ma						
1.	nay/aktibidad.		laman a	na invonc	oninion	hinaail e	a natanna	
1.		angan upang ma	ilalliali a	ing inyong	opinion	minggii s	a Hatapos	2 11
2.	Ang aking ikinalugod/nagust	uhan sa						
	natapos na pagsasanay ay	uriuri ou						
	Ang aking hindi ikinalugod/d	i nagustuhan						
	sa natapos na pagsasanay ay							
3	Ang kapakipakinaban g na pa							_
o.	Ang Reperiperantending ne pe	mod dy						
4.	Ang hindi kapakipakinabang	na paksa ay						
5.	Banggitin ang iyong suhesyon pa ang pagsasagawa ng gawa							
6.	Banggitin ang pagsasanay/pal talakayin sa susunod	ksa na gustong						
7.	Pakilagyan ng tsek (√) ang naa 5) Pinakamahusay; 4) Mas Ma							
	Paksa	Pangalan ng Taga	apagsalita	T		Grado		
	i dilod	anay	5	4	3	2		
		2000 mg 2 //	+ -				_	
					1			
8. /	Ang aking grado sa mga sumus	sunod ay:						-0
	Aspeto		5	4	3		2	1
a.		n						
	Kaangkopan ng paksa							
C.		inamit sa						
-	pagtuturo o pagtatalakay							
d.	Pantulong sa pagtuturo (prese	entasvon/						
	babasahin)							
e.	Takbo ng pangngasiwa ng pa	gsasanav						
f.								
	Kasapatan ng itinakdang oras							
3.	gawain	I work and the same of the sam						
h.	Nagsimula at natapos ang mg	a gawain sa						
***	itinakdang oras							
i.	Lugar ng pagsasanay							
i.	Pagkain							
		MADAMMA	A L A B A A -	DOL				
		MARAMING SA	ALAWAI	PO!				

REP-EXT-1.02F3a, Rev.2 Effective: 09/16/22



PANGKALAHATANG PAGTATASA

Pagsasanay/Aktibidad: Kaalamang Kalusugan Para sa Kababaihan na nasa Kanayunan

Petsa: March 24, 2023	Lugar: Bgy. Cagascas, Tagkawayan, Quezon
Pakisagutan ang mga katanungan upang pagsasanay/aktibidad.	malaman ang inyong opinion hinggil sa natapos na
Ang aking ikinalugod/nagustuhan sa natapos na pagsasanay ay	Mga kaalaman para va varili at kapaligi rar
 Ang aking hindi ikinalugod/di nagustuhan sa natapos na pagsasanay ay 	wala, Hoay aking i Kinalougod Sahat.
Ang kapakipakinaban g na paksa ay	ang kalinisan sasawii at kapaligiran Skara
 Ang hindi kapakipakinabang na paksa ay 	wala, Sahat ay Kapaki paki nabang-
Banggitin ang iyong suhesyon para mapabut pa ang pagsasagawa ng gawain.	ti kailangan gawin ng maayos ang nalame
Banggitin ang pagsasanay/paksa na gustong talakayin sa susunod	Kalinisan sa katawan at paliaid - tih

Pakilagyan ng tsek (√) ang naangkop na grado ng tagapagturo gamit ang sumusunod: Makakaleut
 5) Pinakamahusay; 4) Mas Mahusay; 3) Mahusay; 2) Tama Lang; 1) Di Mahusay.

Paksa	Pangalan ng Tagapagsalita			Grado		
	o Tagapagsanay	5	4	3	2	1
Women's Sanitation and Hygiene	Krista Keith C. Guerra, RN	V				
Women's Rights and Protection	Richard E. Nepomuceno	V				

8. Ang aking grado sa mga sumusunod ay:

	Aspeto	5	4	3	2.	1
a.	Nakamit ang layunin ng gawain	1				
b.		V				
C.		~				
d.	Pantulong sa pagtuturo (presentasyon/ babasahin)	~				
e.	Takbo ng pangngasiwa ng pagsasanay	V				
f.	Pakikiisa ng mga dumalo sa aktibidad	V				
g.	Kasapatan ng itinakdang oras para sa mga gawain	V				
h.	Nagsimula at natapos ang mga gawain sa itinakdang oras	~				
i.	Lugar ng pagsasanay	c				
i.	Pagkain	V				

MARAMING SALAMAT PO!

Pangalan at Lagda

REP-EXT-1.02F3a, Rev.2 Effective: 09/16/22

Percentage Distribution of Client Satisfaction on SLSU Extension Activities for the 2nd Quarter of 2023

₹.3 0 13 0	0	0	2.32	97.68	CTE Act. 2: 05/20/23
o	0	.25	5.75	94	CTE Act. 1: 04/27/23
Q ;UMAN	0	0	8.08	91.92	CIT Act. 6: 06/2,9&16/23
0	0	0	5,68	94.32	CIT Act. 5: 05/26/23
0	0	0	4.55	95.45	CIT Act. 4: 05/20/23
0	0	0	4.55	95.45	CIT Act. 3: 05/19/23
0	0	0	6.06	93.94	CIT Act. 2: 05/12/23
0	0	0	1.82	98.18	CIT Act. 1: 03/24/23
0	0	10.35	28.79	60.86	CEN Act. 1: 05/11-12/23
0	0	3.60	19.24	77.16	CAS Act. 4: 05/11/23
0	0	3.67	18.33	78	CAS Act. 3: 06/14-15/23
0	0	7.52	41.54	50.94	CAS Act. 2: 04/12-13/23
0	0	0	0	100	CAS Act. 1: 04/03/23
0	0	0	0	100	CAM Act. 3: 06/30/23
0	0	0	0	100	CAM Act. 2: 06/29/23
0	0	0	0	100	CAM Act. 1: 06/19/23
0	0	0	0	100	CABHA Act. 2: 04/25/23
0	0	0	0	. 100	CABHA Act. 1: 04/22/23
0	0	14.42	38.87	46.71	OES Act. 6: 06/27/23
0	0	1.95	25.54	72.51	OES Act. 5: 06/26/23
0	0	9.1	13.33	77.57	OES Act. 4: 06/23/23
0	0	0	8.67	91.33	OES Act. 3: 06/21/23
0	0	0	26.9	73.1	OES Act. 2: 06/19/23
0	0	6.06	9.09	84.85	OES Act. 1: 05/26/23
Poor	Fair	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Excellent	2nd Quarter 2023

TIA Act. 3: 05/26/23 100 0	TIA Act. 2: 05/25/23 100 0	TIA Act. 1: 04/26/23 97.5 2.5	LUC Act. 6: 06/10/23 80.36 10.18	LUC Act. 5: 06/03/23 74.55 17.45	LUC Act. 4: 05/27/23 90.18 6.18	LUC Act. 3: 05/20/23 79.27 8.73	LUC Act. 2: 05/13/23 66.55 13.09	LUC Act. 1: 05/06/23 82.91 9.82	INF Act. 2: 05/25/23 85.45 13.94	INF Act. 1: 04/27-28/23 76.36 23.64	CAT Act. 3: 04/18/23 81.42 14.23	CAT Act. 2: 04/14/23 81.36 13.64	
0	0	0	9.46	8	3.64	12	20.36	7.27	.61	0	4.35	ග	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	The second name of the second na

Number of Raters: 989

Prepared by: ARCELI R. OBWERGA
Staff, Extension Services

Noted by:

DR. LEOMAR C. MIANO
Director, Extension Services

Percentage Distribution of Client Satisfaction on SLSU Extension Activities for the 1st Quarter of 2023

1st Quarter 2023 OES Act. 1: 03/24/23 CABHA Act. 1: 02/16/23 CAG Act. 1: 03/30/23	74.07 77.27	Very Satisfactory 17.82 22.73	Satisfactory 8.11	
CAS Act 1: 03/30/23	83.67	16.33	0	
CAS Act. 2: 02/08/23	87.93	12.07	0 04.	
CAS Act. 3: 03/22/23	51.79	41.07	7.14	
CIT Act. 1: 03/17/23	92.05	7.95	0	4 4
CIT Act. 2: 03/18/23	80.68	18.18	1.14	- 1
CIT Act. 3: 03/31/23	98.18	1.82	0	1
CTE Act. 1: 02/10/23	94.6	3.7	1.7	- 1
INF Act. 1: 02/24/23	80.39	10.79	8.82	
INF Act. 2: 03/16/23	98.46	1.54	0	- 1
LUC Act. 1: 02/17/23	94.13	5.36	.51	
TIA Act. 1: 03/22/23	100	0	0	
TIA Act. 2: 03/23/23	100	0	0	

Number of Raters: 443

Prepared by: ARCELI R. OBMERGA Staff, Extension Services

Noted by:

DR. LEOMAR C. MIANO
Director, Extension Services



Annex C. Justification for Not Using the CSM Harmonized Tool

This is to provide justification for not using the CSM harmonized tool within our operations for the period of January- June 2023. Upon receiving the memo regarding its implementation, we were unfortunately informed at a late stage of the said period, which significantly impacted our ability to seamlessly integrate it into our processes.

Considering this, upon receiving the memo, we sought advice from ARTA (Anti-Red Tape Authority) regarding the matter. Their advice was essential in shaping our decision-making process. ARTA reassured us that continuing to use the existing form, which reflects the service quality dimensions, would be acceptable given the circumstances. Their endorsement provided us with confidence in our approach.

In addition, we have separate report for the Office of Extension Services as they have used their own Client Satisfaction Survey Instrument for the period of January-June 2023. The offices' clients are the community members, so they have used their own evaluation tool tailored for its clientele.

For the months of July to December 2023, we had already transitioned to utilizing the new form issued by the ARTA. We understand the importance of harmonization and standardization and we have already integrated to our process the used of the harmonized tool for the year 2024.

Thank you for your understanding and consideration.



CUSTOMER/CLIENT SATISFACTION SURVEY (CSS) REPORT July to December 2023

Overview

Southern Luzon State University as the premier higher education institution in Quezon Province in the Philippines ensures compliance with the national policy on promoting ease of doing business and efficient delivery of government services (R.A. 11032)

The Anti Red Tape Authority (ARTA) developed the harmonized Client Satisfaction Measurement (CSM) for agencies as an after-service availment survey that will assess the overall satisfaction and perception of clients on the government service they availed. This will provide relevant feedback to the agency on the quality of service they are providing.

The Client Satisfaction Survey/Report is a government tool aimed at enhancing efficiency, effectiveness, and citizen trust. It provides verifiable data and evidence for agencies to evaluate the impact of transaction and process changes. Using selected indicators and service dimensions, agencies and citizens assess improvements. Customer satisfaction surveys and complaint management techniques are crucial for understanding client needs, while suggestions from customers and employees bring new ideas to government processes.

Scope

SLSU conducted the harmonized Client Satisfaction Measurement Survey from July to December 2023 and was administered by offices/units with external services.

The survey used the standard harmonized CSM questionnaire that includes demographical questions, three (3) Citizen's Charter questions, and eight (8) questions related to the following Service Quality Dimensions (SQD):

- Responsiveness
- 2. Reliability
- 3. Access and Facilities
- 4. Communication
- 5. Costs
- 6. Integrity
- 7. Outcome

The services surveyed by the Southern Luzon State University were the following:

External Service	Responses	Total Transactions
Confirmation and Admission of Students	502	5205
Request for Good Moral Certificate	246	681
Lending of books and other library materials- for non- SLSU clients (high school students)	50	57
Lending of books and other library materials- for non- SLSU clients (college or graduate school student)	6	6
Returning of borrowed books	93	123
Request/claim of tor (transcript of records (grad/2nd copy/board exam, transfer credentials)	336	2682



198	407
256	769
60	100
353	4582
52	60
30	32
90	90
291	13, 143
26	108
145	2, 293
8	- 8
35	37
2	2
	256 60 353 52 30 90 291 26 145 8 35

A total of 2,779 individuals were surveyed and responded to the Client Satisfaction Measurement form, representing 9.15% of the 30,385 total transactions.

III. Methodology

The Client Satisfaction Survey offers an objective performance that acts as the foundation for personnel actions and to continually stimulate improvement in employees' performance, efficiency, and organizational effectiveness and productivity.

For on-site clients, the clerk of the campus/college/office/unit will hand you a Client Satisfaction Measurement Form for after every completed transaction, and you'll hand it back folded after you rate the CSM form the office you visited. The client(s) may also place the answered CSM form in the suggestion box located at the office or department on university property after the transaction. For transactions that are done remotely, units/offices were given the option to survey the clients through online.

The eight (8) Service Quality Dimensions (SQD) were scored using a Five (5) Point Likert Scale with smileys/emoticons corresponding to the scale for better visualization and prevent confusion on the corresponding rating.

Scale	Rating		
5	Strongly Agree		
4	Agree		
3	Neither Agree nor Disagree		
2	Disagree		
1	Strongly Disagree		

The Overall score for the 8 SQD s were computed based on the following formula:



Overall Score= Number of 'Strongly Agree' answers + Number of 'Agree' answers Total Number of Respondents- Number of 'N/A' answers

The interpretation of the results are as follows:

Percentage	Rating
Below 60.0%	Poor
60.0 %- 79.9%	Fair
80.0 %- 89.9 %	Satisfactory
90.0 %- 94.9 %	Very Satisfactory
95.0 %- 100 %	Outstanding

IV. Data and Interpretation

A. Demographic Profile

Most respondents of Southern Luzon State University's Client Satisfaction Survey were age 19-25 years old having the highest demographic proportion of 60.27% and women/female are more likely to complete the feedback form, with a total frequency of 1,517 responses, or

54.59% of all respondents.

Age	External	Overall
6 to 12 years old	1	0.04%
13 to 18 years old	539	19.40%
19 to 25 years old	1675	60.27%
26 to 39 years old	345	12.41%
40 to 64 years old	129	4.64%
65+ years old	0	0.00%
Did not specify	90	3.24%
Sex	External	Overall
Male	1088	39.15%
Female	1517	54.59%
Did not specify	174	6.26%

Most respondents totaling to 2,423 or 87.19% of the entire survey are from Region IV-A (CALABARZON) and the total of 0.44% are from Region IV-B and NCR while 12.38% did not

specify their region.

Region	External	Overall
Region I	0	0.00%
Region II	0	0.00%
Region III	0	0.00%
Region IV-A	2423	87.19%
Region IV-B	1	0.04%
MIMAROPA	0	0.00%
Region V	0	0.00%
Region VI	0	0.00%
Region VII	0	0.00%
Region VIII	0	0.00%
Region IX	0	0.00%
Region X	0	0.00%
Region XI	0	0.00%
Region XII	0	0.00%
Region XIII	0	0.00%
NCR	11	0.40%
CAR	0	0.00%



BARMM	0	0.00%
Did not specify	344	12.38%

Most respondents of the CSM survey of Southern Luzon State University are students/citizens

which covers 85.25% of the total 2,779 respondents.

Customer Type	External	Overall
Citizen	2369	85.25%
Business	57	2.05%
Government	150	5.40%
Did not specify	203	7.30%

B. Count of CC and SQD results

Citizen's Charter is one of the primary tools that government agencies use to communicate their service standards on the delivery of government services to their citizens or clients. As shown below, the respondents of Southern Luzon State University are aware of the Citizens Charter of the office that they transacted to.

In awareness (CC.1- CC1. I know what a CC is, and I saw this office's CC) 66.70%, visibility (CC2. Easy to see)70.28% and usefulness (CC3.Helped very much) 73.74%.

Citizen's charter Answers	Responses	Percentage
CC1. I know what a CC is, and I saw this office's CC	1829	66.70%
CC1. I know what a CC is, but I did NOT see this office's CC	304	11.09%
CC1. I learned of the CC only when I saw this office's CC	320	11.67%
CC1. I do not know what a CC is, and I did not see one in this office	289	10.54%
CC2. Easy to see	1927	70.28%
CC2. Somewhat easy to see	436	15.90%
CC2. Difficult to see	72	2.63%
CC2. Not visible at all	49	1.79%
CC2. N/A	258	9.41%
CC3. Helped very much	2022	73.74%
CC3. Somewhat helped	434	15.83%
CC3. Did not help	23	0.84%
CC3. N/A	263	9.59%

As shown on the table below, most of the client surveyed were extremely satisfied with Southern Luzon State University in terms of the eight (8) service quality dimensions, having rating that range from 97.90 % to 98.99% which is equivalent to Outstanding.

Service Quality Dimensions	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	Total Responses	Overall
SQD0	12	3	19	802	1898	8	2742	98.76%



Service Quality Dimensions	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	Total Responses	Overall
Responsiveness	7	8	43	888	1817	16	2779	97.90%
Reliability	8	0	20	838	1902	11	2779	98.99%
Access and Facilities	6	1	41	824	1852	55	2779	98.24%
Communication	7	1	41	930	1777	23	2779	98.22%
Costs	11	10	52	702	1595	409	2779	96.92%
Integrity	6	3	34	757	1944	35	2779	98.43%
Assurance	7	3	23	889	1850	7	2779	98.81%
Outcome	6	2	25	838	1885	23	2779	98.80%
Overall	58	28	279	6,666	14,622	579	22,232	98.31%

C. Overall score per service

The scores per service shows how satisfied the respondents are with the external service that they have availed. Having scores that range from 91.07% to 100%. While one service (Request for endorsement of patent/ utility model/ industrial design application) garnered the lowest score of 86.67% or Satisfactory.

The table below shows the overall rating of each external service surveyed

External Services	Overall Rating
Confirmation and Admission of Students	98.73 %
Request for Good Moral Certificate	94.98 %
Lending of books and other library materials- for non-SLSU clients (high school students)	95.17 %
Lending of books and other library materials- for non-SLSU clients (college or graduate school student)	97.67 %
Returning of borrowed books	97.35 %
Request/claim of tor (transcript of records grad/2nd copy/board exam, transfer credentials)	99.29 %
Request/claim of certification (diploma, graduation, enrollment, CAV, GWA, CARMA, units earned, EMI, SPES/DOST, PD907, equivalency of grades, verification of records)	100 %
Request/claim of authentication (TOR, diploma and registration form)	100 %
Delivery Inspection and Acceptance	100 %
Medical and Dental Consultation	95.52%
Procurement process	98.05 %
Procurement of goods, infrastructure, consultancy and through public bidding	100 %
Signing of student clearance and issuance of examination permit	99.86 %
Collecting fees	99.48 %
Expanded modified direct payment scheme (checkless payment)	100 %
Payment through check	99.82 %
Request for training, community outreach, technical assistance and special projects	100 %
Request for manuscript similarity examination	91.07 %
Request for endorsement of patent/ utility model/ industrial design application	86.67 %
OVERALL TOTAL	97.56%



- V. Results of the Agency Action Plan reported for FY 2022
 - SLSU Employees Association in collaboration with Civil Service Commission conducted seminar on Republic Act (RA) 6713 - "Code of Conduct and Ethical Standards for Public Officials and Employees". The rationale for conducting the said seminar rooted in the need to ensure that the actions and decisions of those in the public sector are guided by the highest principles of integrity, accountability, and transparency
- VI. Continuous Agency Improvement Plan for FY 2024
 - Provide training/orientation to staffs on how to utilize and prepare summary of survey results effectively.
 - Compare satisfaction scores from previous years' data to identify areas/services that needs improvement.

Prepared by:

JULIE AN G. NACORDA Administrative Aide III

Noted by:

GINO A.CABRERA, RPm

Head, Human Resource Management Office

Approved by:

FREDERICKT. VILLA, DT University President



Annex A. Survey Questionnaire/s Used

	ed transa		is office provid			e of government of al information sh			
lient typ	ne: 🗆	Otizen Busi	iness Gov	ernment (Empl	oyee or anoth	er agency)			
ate:		Corre	□Male □	Female	Age:				
MARKET STATE	residence			Service Availe				-	
						ns. The Citizen's Ci nd processing time			t that reflect
C1	Which	of the following b			of a CC?				
	11.5	I lonow what a CO			ecc.				
	= 2	I learned of the	Confirmment en	u this office's C	-				
	Ħ4.	i de not know wi	nat a CC is, and I	did not see one	in this office.	Answer 'N/A' on Co	2 and CC3)		
							5511.91.19536		
C2	If awar	e of CC (answered	1-3 in CC1), wo	uld you say th	at the CC of th	nis office was?			
	□ I.	Easy to see Somewhat easy t			□ 4	Not visible at all N/A			
	☐ 2. 3.	Somewhat easy t Difficult to see	o see		☐ 5.	N/A			
:C3				1), how much		dp you in your tran	saction?		
	D1	Helped very muc			B 4	Did not help			
	☐ 2.	Somewhat helpe	ರ		D 4	. N/A			
	DONS: 1-8, please :	out a check mark (√) on the column th	at the best corre	espands to your	answer.	0	()	N/A
		out a check mark (-/) on the column t	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree per Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A Not Applicable
Ser SQD	l-S, please :	out a check mark (v/		Strongly	(3)	(a a a	Agrees		Not
SQDQ. availe SQD1.	am satis		ice that I	Strongly	(3)	(a a a	Agrees		Not
SQDQ. availer SQD1. my tra SQD2.	lam satis d. I spent a insection. The office	fied with the servi reasonable amous r followed the trai	loc that I nt of time for insaction's	Strongly	(3)	(a a a	Agree		Not
SQD0. availe SQD1. my tra SQD2. requir inform	l am satis d. I spent a insscrition. The office ements are	fied with the serv reasonable amous of followed the train of steps based on vided.	ice that I at of time for assection's the	Strongly	(3)	(a a a	Agree		Not
SQDQ. availe SQD1. my tra SQD2. requir inform	lam satis i. I spent a linssection. The office ements are a ration pro	fied with the servi reasonable amous of followed the train of steps based on wided.	ice that I nt of time for insection's the	Strongly	(3)	(a a a	Agree		Not
SQDQ. availe SQDQ. requir inform SQD3. do for	lam satis d. I spent a insection. The office ements are mation pro	fied with the servi reasonable amous of followed the train of steps based on vided. Including payme ction were easy a	ice that I at of time for assection's the ant) I needed to: and simple.	Strongly	(3)	(a a a	Agree		Not
SQDQ. availe: SQDQ: requir inform SQD3. do for SQD4.	I am satis d. I spent a inssection. The office ements are action pro- The scepa my transit. I easily for	fied with the serving seasonable amoust a followed the trained steps based on wided. (Including paymention with a first and information a und information a und information a serving	nt of time for nsaction's the and simple.	Strongly	(3)	(a a a	Agrac		Not
SQD0. availee SQD1. my tre SQD2. requir inform SQD3. do for SQD4. transe	I am satis d. I spent a insection. The office ements an attion pro The scepe my transc. I easily for	fied with the servi reasonable amous e followed the trail of steps based on wided. (Including payme ction were easy a und information in the office or its	ice that I at of time for insection's the ant) I needed to ind simple, website,	Strongly	(3)	(a a a	Agrae		Not
SQDD. svalle SQD1. my trs SQD2. requir inform SQD2. SQD3. SQD4. SQD5. SQD5. SQD5.	lam satis d. I spent a insaction. The office relation pro- The steps my transaction from the paid a mileston from the pai	fied with the serving seasonable amoust a followed the trained steps based on wided. (Including paymention with a first and information a und information a und information a serving	ice that I at of time for insection's the ant) I needed to ind simple, website,	Strongly	(3)	(a a a	Agree		Not
SQD0. scalle SQD1. requir inform SQD3. do for SQD4. SQD5. branss	I am satis i. I spent a insection. The office ements are mation pro The steps my trans. I easily forction from it paid a mection from ecotions.	fied with the serving seasonable amoust of steps based on wided. (Including payme other were easy a und information an the office or its seasonable amount	ice that I int of time for insaction's the int of time and insaction's the int of the int of the int of the int of the int of the int of the int of the int of the int of the int of the int of the int of the int of the int	Strongly	(3)	(a a a	Agree		Not
SQD0. SQD0. availte SQD1. my tra SQD2. requir inform SQD3. do for SQD4. transa SQD5. SQD6.	I am satis d. I spent a insection. The office ements are action proof The steps my transs. I pasily for sections. I Feel the	fied with the servi reasonable amous e followed the trail of steps based on wided. (Including payme totion were easy a und information a the office or its sesonable amount office was fair to	ice that I int of time for insection's the cont in needed to insour my website, to i fess for my everyone, or	Strongly	(3)	(a a a	Agrac		Not
SQD0. SQD0. wy tre SQD2. require sQD2. transe SQD6. transe SQD6. transe SQD6. "wala	I am satis I spent a i inspent a i i i seel the inspent a selection i paid a i i i seel the inspent a selection i paid a i i i seel the inspent a selection i paid a i i i seel the inspent a selection i paid a i i i seel the inspent a selection i paid a i i i seel the inspent a selection i paid a i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	fied with the serving seasonable amoust of steps based on wided. (Including payme other were easy a und information an the office or its seasonable amount	ice that I Int of time for Insection's the Int of imple	Strongly Disagree	(3)	(a a a	Agrace		Not
SQD0. availee SQD1. my tra sqD3. do for transas SQD5. bransas SQD6. (Value) SQD7. SQD6. SQD7.	I am satisfic. I spent a missortion. The office ements are attion pro The steps my trans. I easily foction from a cotions. I Feel the me colotions. I was trei.	fied with the servi reasonable amous of followed the tra- id steps based on vided. (Including payme cition were easy a und information in the office or its usesonable amount office was fair to an", during my tr	ice that I int of time for insaction's the int of time for insection in the int of time for insaction in the insaction in the insaction. In the insaction in the insaction in the staff, and	Strongly Disagree	(3)	(a a a	Agree		Not
SQD0. availee SQD1. my tra SQD2. my tra SQD3. do for SQD5. transa SQD5. transa SQD7. Of asks office office sqD8.	I am satisfic. I spent a insection. The office ements are attion pro The steps my trans. I easily foction from it points are sections. I Feel the me calcidate. I was treited for hell. I got wide.	fied with the services amount of the train of steps based on wided. (Including payme other was fer to office or its resonance office or its resonance office or its resonance office or its resonance office or its resonance office or its resonance office or its resonance office or its resonance of its resonance o	ice that I nt of time for nsaction's the ent) I needed to sind simple, shour my website, t of fession my everyone, or ansaction, by the staff, and elpful.	Strongly Disagree	(3)	(a a a	Agrae		Not
SQD0. availee SQD1. my tra SQD2. my tra SQD3. do for SQD3. do for SQD5. SQD6. *vala SQD7. Of asks office office sqD8.	I am satisfic. I spent a insection. The office ements are attion pro The steps my trans. I easily foction from it points are sections. I Feel the me calcidate. I was treited for hell. I got wide.	fied with the servi reasonable amous of followed the train of steps based on vided. (Including paymetion or cution were easy a und information or the office or its assonable amount assonable amount affice was feir to an", during my trained courteously to the trained of from the	ice that I nt of time for nsaction's the ent) I needed to sind simple, shour my website, t of fession my everyone, or ansaction, by the staff, and elpful.	Strongly Disagree	(3)	(a a) Neither Agree	Agrae		Not
SQD0. svalle SQD1. my tra requir inform SQD5. requir inform SQD6. value SQD6. value SQD6. value SQD6. value SQD6. sqp6. value sqp6. value sqp6.	I am satisfication in the state of the state	fied with the services amount of the train of steps based on wided. (Including payme other was fer to office or its resonance office or its resonance office or its resonance office or its resonance office or its resonance office or its resonance office or its resonance office or its resonance of its resonance o	ice that I int of time for state in the int of time for state into I needed to and simple, shour my website, to of fess for my everyone, or sussaction, by the staff, and lipful.	Strongly Disagree	(3)	(a a) Neither Agree	Agrac		Not

SP-SLSU-8.0Ft, rev. 0 Effective: 08/07/2023



(Online version)



This short Client Satisfaction Measurement (CSM) survey alms to track the customer experience of government offices. Your answers will enable this office to provide a better service.

Service	visited: availed: er type (Ci	
INSTRU	CTIONS: C	neck mark (-/) your answer to the Citizen's Charter (CC) questions.
CCI	Do you	know about the Citizen's Charter (document of an agency's services and ress.)?
	D 1	Yes, aware before my transaction with this office.
	□2.	Yes, but aware only when I saw the CC of this office.
	□3.	No, not aware of the CC (Skip questions CC2 and CC3).
CC	If Yes t	o the previous question, did you see this office's Crizen Charter?
		Yes, the CC was easy to find
	2.	Yes, but the CC was hard to find
	□3.	No, I did not see this office's CC (Skip question CC3)
CC3	If Yes t	to the previous question, did you use the Citizen Charter as a guide for the service/s you availed?
	F 2.	Yes, I was able to use the CC
	T 2.	No, I was not able to use the CC because

Strongly Disagree (SD)	Dissgree	Neither Agree nor Disagree (NAD)	Agree (A)	Strongly Agree (SA)
1	2	3	4	5

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
SQD1. I spent an acceptable amount of time to complete my transaction (Responsiveness)	1	2	3	4	5
SQD2. The office accurately informed and followed the transaction's requirements and steps (Reliability)	1	2	3	4	5
SQDB. My online transaction (including steps and payment) was simple and convenient (Access and Facilities)	1	2	3	4	5
5QD4. I easily found information about my transaction from the office or its website (Communication)	1	2	3	4	5
SQDS. I paid an acceptable amount of fees for my transaction (Costs)	1	2	3	4	5
SQDG. I am confident my online transaction was secure (integrity)	1	2	3	4	5
SQD7. The office's online support was available, or (if asked questions) online support was quick to respond (Assurance)	1	2	3	4	.5
SQD8. I got what I needed from the government office (Outcome)	1	2	3	4	5

arks (optional):			
		10.70.183.50	

SP-SLSU-8.0F1, Rev. 0 Effective: 08/07/2023



ANNEX B. CSM RESULTS PER OFFICE

1. ACCOUNTING OFFICE

CITIZEN'S CHARTER	Responses	Percentage
CC1. I know what a CC is, and I saw this office's CC	57	63.33%
CC1. I know what a CC is, but I did NOT see this office's CC	9	10.00%
CC1. I learned of the CC only when I saw this office's CC	13	14.44%
CC1. I do not know what a CC is, and I did not see one in this office	11	12.22%
CC2. Easy to see	60	66.67%
CC2. Somewhat easy to see	15	16.67%
CC2. Difficult to see	2	2.22%
CC2. Not visible at all	1	1.11%
CC2. N/A	12	13.33%
CC3. Helped very much	67	74.44%
CC3. Somewhat helped	9	10.00%
CC3. Did not help	1	1.11%
CC3. N/A	13	14.44%

Service Quality Dimensions	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	Responses	Rating
SQD 0	0	0	0	29	61	0	90	100.00%
Service Quality Dimensions	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	Responses	Rating
Responsiveness	0	0	0	42	48	0	90	100.00%
Reliability	0	0	1	29	60	0	90	98.89%
Access and Facilities	0	0	0	35	55	0	90	100.00%
Communication	0	0	0	34	56	0	90	100.00%
Costs	0	0	0	30	60	0	90	100.00%
Integrity	0	0	0	21	69	0	90	100.00%
Assurance	0	0	0	24	66	0	90	100.00%
Outcome	0	0	0	20	70	0	90	100.00%
Overall	0	0	1	235	484	0	720	99.86%

External Service	Responses	Total Transactions
Signing of student clearance and issuance of examination permit	90	90



2. CASHIER'S OFFICE

CITIZEN'S CHARTER	Responses	Percentage
CC1. I know what a CC is, and I saw this office's CC	369	79.87%
CC1. I know what a CC is, but I did NOT see this office's CC	83	17.97%
CC1. I learned of the CC only when I saw this office's CC	10	2.16%
CC1. I do not know what a CC is, and I did not see one in this office	0	0.00%
CC2. Easy to see	365	79.00%
CC2. Somewhat easy to see	95	20.56%
CC2. Difficult to see	2	0.43%
CC2. Not visible at all	0	0.00%
CC2. N/A	0	0.00%
CC3. Helped very much	390	84.42%
CC3. Somewhat helped	72	15.58%
CC3. Did not help	0	0.00%
CC3. N/A	0	0.00%

Service Quality Dimensions	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	Responses	Rating
SQD 0	0	0	1	103	358	0	462	99.78%
Service Quality Dimensions	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	Responses	Rating
Responsiveness	0	0	3	111	348	0	462	99.35%
Reliability	0	0	2	116	344	0	462	99.57%
Access and Facilities	0	0	3	115	344	0	462	99.35%
Communication	0	0	1	106	355	0	462	99.78%
Costs	0	0	2	86	339	35	462	99.53%
Integrity	0	0	1	72	370	19	462	99.77%
Assurance	0	0	1	78	383	0	462	99.78%
Outcome	0	0	1	71	390	0	462	99.78%
Overall	0	0	14	755	2873	54	3696	99.62%

EXTERNAL SERVICES	RESPONSES	TOTAL TRANSACTIONS
Collecting fees	291	13,143
Disbursement to suppliers/creditors		
A. Expanded modified direct payment scheme (chekless payment)	26	108
B. Payment through check	145	2,293



3. EXTENSION OFFICE

CITIZEN'S CHARTER	Responses	Percentage
CC1. I know what a CC is, and I saw this office's CC	0	0.00%
CC1. I know what a CC is, but I did NOT see this office's CC	6	100.00%
CC1. I learned of the CC only when I saw this office's CC	0	0.00%
CC1. I do not know what a CC is, and I did not see one in this office	2	33.33%
CC2. Easy to see	0	0.00%
CC2. Somewhat easy to see	0	0.00%
CC2. Difficult to see	0	0.00%
CC2. Not visible at all	8	133.33%
CC2. N/A	0	0.00%
		0.00%
CC3. Helped very much	0	
CC3. Somewhat helped	5	83.33%
CC3. Did not help	3	50.00%
CC3. N/A	0	0.00%

Service Quality Dimensions	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	Responses	Rating
SQD 0	0	0	0	0	8	0	8	100.00%
Service Quality Dimensions	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	Responses	Rating
Responsiveness	0	0	0	0	8	0	8	100.00%
Reliability	0	0	0	0	8	0	8	100.00%
Access and Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	8	8	#DIV/0!
Communication	0	0	0	0	8	0	8	100.00%
Costs	0	0	0	0	0	8	8	#DIV/0!
Integrity	0	0	0	0	8	0	8	100.00%
Assurance	0	0	0	0	8	0	8	100.00%
Outcome	0	0	0	0	8	0	8	100.00%
Overall	0	0	0	0	48	16	64	100.00%

EXTERNAL SERVICES	RESPONSES	TOTAL TRANSACTIONS
Request for training, community outreach, technical assistance and special projects	8	8



4. INNOVATION AND TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT SERVICES OFFICE

EXTERNAL SERVICES	Responses	Percentage
CC1. Yes, aware before my transaction with this office	12	32.43%
CC1. Yes, but aware only when I saw the CC of this office	4	10.81%
CC1. No, not aware of the CC (Skip questions CC2 and CC3)	17	45.95%
DID NOT SPECIFY	4	10.81%
CC2. Yes, the CC was easy to find	11	29.73%
CC2. Yes, but the CC was hard to find	3	8.11%
CC2. No, I did not see this office's CC (Skip question CC3)	10	27.03%
DID NOT SPECIFY	13	35.14%
CC3. Yes, I was able to use the CC	6	16.22%
CC3. No, I was not able to use the CC because	13	35.14%
DID NOT SPECIFY	18	48.65%

Service Quality Dimensions	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	Responses	Rating
SQD 0							0	#DIV/0!
THEY HAVE USED	THE FIRST IS	SUED FOR	M FOR ONL	INE SUR	VEY FORM			
Service Quality Dimensions	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	Responses	Rating
Responsiveness	0	0	0	10	27	0	37	100.00%
Reliability	0	0	0	10	26	1	37	100.00%
Access and Facilities	0	0	2	10	25	0	37	94.59%
Communication	0	0	2	12	23	0	37	94.59%
Costs	0	5	13	8	11	0	37	51.35%
Integrity	0	0	1	10	26	0	37	97.30%
Assurance	0	0	2	7	28	0	37	94.59%
Outcome	0	0	2	8	27	0	37	94.59%
Overall	0	5	22	75	193	1	296	90.85%

EXTERNAL SERVICES	RESPONSES	TOTAL TRANSACTIONS
Request for manuscript similarity examination	35	37
Request for endorsement of patent/ utility model/ industrial design application	2	2



5. UNIVERSITY LIBRARY

CITIZEN'S CHARTER	Responses	Percentage
CC1. I know what a CC is, and I saw this office's CC	50	33.56%
CC1. I know what a CC is, but I did NOT see this office's CC	11	7.38%
CC1. I learned of the CC only when I saw this office's CC	51	34.23%
CC1. I do not know what a CC is, and I did not see one in this office	37	24.83%
CC2. Easy to see	53	35.57%
CC2. Somewhat easy to see	49	32.89%
CC2. Difficult to see	8	5.37%
CC2. Not visible at all	1	0.67%
CC2. N/A	38	25.50%
CC3. Helped very much	63	42.28%
CC3. Somewhat helped	45	30.20%
CC3. Did not help	1	0.67%
CC3. N/A	40	26.85%

Service Quality Dimensions	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	Responses	Rating
SQD 0	0	0	0	25	25	0	50	100.00%
Service Quality Dimensions	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	Responses	Rating
Responsiveness	0	0	4	29	17	0	50	92.00%
Reliability	0	0	0	24	26	0	50	100.00%
Access and Facilities	0	0	4	23	23	0	50	92.00%
Communication	0	0	0	35	15	0	50	100.00%
Costs	0	0	0	3	1	46	50	100.00%
Integrity	0	0	6	19	25	0	50	88.00%
Assurance	0	0	1	26	23	0	50	98.00%
Outcome	0	0	2	29	17	2	50	95.83%
Overall	0	0	17	188	147	48	400	95.17%

Service Quality Dimensions	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	Responses	Rating
SQD 0	0	0	0	3	3	0	6	100.00%



Service Quality Dimensions	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	Responses	Rating
Responsiveness	0	0	0	4	2	0	6	100.00%
Reliability	0	0	0	3	3	0	6	100.00%
Access and Facilities	0	0	1	4	1	0 ,	6	83.33%
Communication	0	0	0	4	1	1	6	100.00%
Costs	0	0	0	1	1	4	6	100.00%
Integrity	0	0	0	4	2	0	6	100.00%
Assurance	0	0	0	4	2	0	6	100.00%
Outcome	0	0	0	4	2	0	6	100.00%
Overall	0	0	1	28	14	5	48	97.67%

Service Quality Dimensions	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	Responses	Rating
SQD 0	0	0	2	30	61	0	93	97.85%
Service Quality Dimensions	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	Responses	Rating
Responsiveness	0	0	1	35	56	1	93	98.91%
Reliability	0	0	2	31	59	1	93	97.83%
Access and Facilities	0	0	2	43	46	2	93	97.80%
Communication	0	0	4	35	52	2	93	95.60%
Costs	0	0	2	17	23	51	93	95.24%
Integrity	0	0	4	29	59	1	93	95.65%
Assurance	0	0	1	30	61	1	93	98.91%
Outcome	0	0	2	36	49	6	93	97.70%
Overall	0	0	18	256	405	65	744	97.35%

EXTERNAL SERVICES	RESPONSES	TOTAL TRANSACTIONS
Lending of books and other library materials- for non- slsu clients (highschool stsudents)	50	57
Lending of books and other library materials- for non- slsu clients (college or graduate school student)	6	6
Returning of borrowed books	93	123



6. UNIVERSITY REGISTRAR

CITIZEN'S CHARTER	Responses	Percentage
CC1. I know what a CC is, and I saw this office's CC	602	76.20%
CC1. I know what a CC is, but I did NOT see this office's CC	108	13.67%
CC1. I learned of the CC only when I saw this office's CC	43	5.44%
CC1. I do not know what a CC is, and I did not see one in this office	37	4.68%
CC2. Easy to see	678	85.82%
CC2. Somewhat easy to see	47	5.95%
CC2. Difficult to see	27	3.42%
CC2. Not visible at all	21	2.66%
CC2. N/A	17	2.15%
CC3. Helped very much	637	80.63%
CC3. Somewhat helped	133	16.84%
CC3. Did not help	4	0.51%
CC3. N/A	16	2.03%

Service Quality Dimensions	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	Responses	Rating
SQD 0	0	0	0	60	275	1	336	100.00%
Service Quality Dimensions	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	Responses	Rating
Responsiveness	0	0	0	48	287	1	336	100.00%
Reliability	0	0	0	85	250	1	336	100.00%
Access and Facilities	0	0	1	57	277	1	336	99.70%
Communication	0	0	1	136	198	1	336	99.70%
Costs	0	0	1	75	259	1	336	99.70%
Integrity	0	0	3	79	252	2	336	99.10%
Assurance	0	1	5	129	200	1	336	98.21%
Outcome	0	1	6	50	278	1	336	97.91%
Overall	0	2	17	659	2001	9	2688	99.29%

REQUEST/CLAIM OF CERTIFICATION (DIPLOMA, GRADUATION, ENROLLMENT, CAV, GWA, CARMA, UNITS EARNED, EMI, SPES/DOST, PD907, EQUIVALENCY OF GRADES, VERIFICATION OF RECORDS)

Service Quality Dimensions	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	Responses	Rating
SQD 0	0	0	0	11	187		198	100.00%



Service Quality Dimensions	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	Responses	Rating
Responsiveness	0	0	0	11	187	0	198	100.00%
Reliability	0	0	0	13	185	0	198	100.00%
Access and Facilities	0	0	0	38	160	0	198	100.00%
Communication	0	0	0	27	171	0	198	100.00%
Costs	0	0	0	30	168	0	198	100.00%
Integrity	0	0	0	45	153	0	198	100.00%
Assurance	0	0	0	40	158	0	198	100.00%
Outcome	0	0	0	46	152	0	198	100.00%
Overall	0	0	0	250	1334	0	1584	100.00%

Service Quality Dimensions	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	Responses	Rating
SQD 0	0	0	0	107	149	0	256	100.00%
Service Quality Dimensions	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	Responses	Rating
Responsiveness	0	0	0	130	126	0	256	100.00%
Reliability	0	0	0	97	159	0	256	100.00%
Access and Facilities	0	0	0	52	204	0	256	100.00%
Communication	0	0	0	86	170	0	256	100.00%
Costs	0	0	0	37	219	0	256	100.00%
Integrity	0	0	0	91	165	0	256	100.00%
Assurance	0	0	0	151	105	0	256	100.00%
Outcome	0	0	0	113	143	0	256	100.00%
Overall	0	0	0	757	1291	0	2048	100.00%

EXTERNAL SERVICES	RESPONSES	TOTAL TRANSACTIONS
Request/claim of tor (transcript of records (grad/2nd copy/board exam, transfer credentials)	336	2682
Request/claim of certification (diploma, graduation, enrollment, cav, gwa, carma, units earned, emi, spes/dost, pd907, equivalency of grades, verification of records)	198	407
Request/claim of authentication (tor, diploma and registration form)	256	769



7. OFFICE OF STUDENT AFFAIRS AND SERVICES

CITIZEN'S CHARTER	Responses	Percentage
CC1. I know what a CC is, and I saw this office's CC	373	49.87%
CC1. I know what a CC is, but I did NOT see this office's CC	70	9.36%
CC1. I learned of the CC only when I saw this office's CC	144	19.25%
CC1. I do not know what a CC is, and I did not see one in this office	161	21.52%
CC2. Easy to see	377	50.40%
CC2. Somewhat easy to see	176	23.53%
CC2. Difficult to see	23	3.07%
CC2. Not visible at all	11	1.47%
CC2. N/A	161	21.52%
CC3. Helped very much	474	63.37%
CC3. Somewhat helped	104	13.90%
CC3. Did not help	7	0.94%
CC3. N/A	163	21.79%

Service Quality Dimensions	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	Responses	Rating
SQD 0	0	1	10	77	152	6	246	95.42%
Service Quality Dimensions	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	Responses	Rating
Responsiveness	0	3	12	81	145	5	246	93.78%
Reliability	0	0	7	79	155	5	246	97.10%
Access and Facilities	0	0	15	79	138	14	246	93.53%
Communication	0	1	10	89	139	7	246	95.40%
Costs	0	3	18	75	99	51	246	89.23%
Integrity	0	2	11	76	153	4	246	94.63%
Assurance	0	1	6	78	157	4	246	97.11%
Outcome	0	0	5	91	145	5	246	97.93%
Overall	0	10	84	648	1131	95	1968	94.98%

CONFIRMATION A	ND ADMISS	SION OF STU	JDENTS					
Service Quality Dimensions	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	Responses	Rating
SQD 0	0	0	4	267	231	0	502	99.20%



Service Quality Dimensions	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	Responses	Rating
Responsiveness	0	0	12	278	212	0	502	97.61%
Reliability	0	0	4	238	260	0	502	99.20%
Access and Facilities	0	0	9	291	200	2	502	98.20%
Communication	0	0	4	270	227	1	502	99.20%
Costs	0	0	11	284	195	12	502	97.76%
Integrity	0	0	4	236	262	0	502	99.20%
Assurance	0	0	3	231	268	0	502	99.40%
Outcome	0	0	4	277	220	1	502	99.20%
Overall	0	0	51	2105	1844	16	4016	98.73%

EXTERNAL SERVICES	RESPONSES	TOTAL TRANSACTIONS
Confirmation and admission of students	502	5205
Request for copy of good moral certificate	246	681

8. PROCUREMENT

CITIZEN'S CHARTER	Responses	Percentage
CC1. I know what a CC is, and I saw this office's CC	70	85.37%
CC1. I know what a CC is, but I did NOT see this office's CC	6	7.32%
CC1. I learned of the CC only when I saw this office's CC	4	4.88%
CC1. I do not know what a CC is, and I did not see one in this office	2	2.44%
CC2. Easy to see	56	68.29%
CC2. Somewhat easy to see	16	19.51%
CC2. Difficult to see	8	9.76%
CC2. Not visible at all	2	2.44%
CC2. N/A	0	0.00%
CC3. Helped very much	59	71.95%
CC3. Somewhat helped	20	24.39%
CC3. Did not help	0	0.00%
CC3. N/A	3	3.66%

Service Quality Dimensions	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	Responses	Rating
SQD 0	0	0	1	6	45	0	52	98.08%



Service Quality Dimensions	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	Responses	Rating
Responsiveness	0	0	1	12	38	1	52	98.04%
Reliability	0	0	1	9	40	2	52	98.00%
Access and Facilities	0	0	1	7	44	0	52	98.08%
Communication	0	0	1	10	41	0	52	98.08%
Costs	0	0	1	7	42	2	52	98.00%
Integrity	0	0	1	7	44	0	52	98.08%
Assurance	0	0	1	6	45	0	52	98.08%
Outcome	0	0	1	9	42	0	52	98.08%
Overall	0	0	8	67	336	5	416	98.05%

Service Quality Dimensions	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	Responses	Rating
SQD 0	0	0	0	7	23	0	30	100.00%
Service Quality Dimensions	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	Responses	Rating
Responsiveness	0	0	0	6	24	0	30	100.00%
Reliability	0	0	0	2	28	0	30	100.00%
Access and Facilities	0	0	0	4	24	2	30	100.00%
Communication	0	0	0	7	22	1	30	100.00%
Costs	0	0	0	6	21	3	30	100.00%
Integrity	0	0	0	3	27	0	30	100.00%
Assurance	0	0	0	4	26	0	30	100.00%
Outcome	0	0	0	3	27	0	30	100.00%
Overall	0	0	0	35	199	6	240	100.00%

EXTERNAL SERVICES	RESPONSES	TOTAL TRANSACTIONS
Procurement process	52	60
Procurement of goods, infrastructure, consultancy and through public bidding	30	32



9. SUPPLY AND PROPERTY OFFICE

CITIZEN'S CHARTER	Responses	Percentage
CC1. I know what a CC is, and I saw this office's CC	43	71.67%
CC1. I know what a CC is, but I did NOT see this office's CC	0	0.00%
CC1. I learned of the CC only when I saw this office's CC	17	28.33%
CC1. I do not know what a CC is, and I did not see one in this office	0	0.00%
CC2. Easy to see	58	96.67%
CC2. Somewhat easy to see	2	3.33%
CC2. Difficult to see	0	0.00%
CC2. Not visible at all	0	0.00%
CC2. N/A	0	0.00%
CC3. Helped very much	43	71.67%
CC3. Somewhat helped	17	28.33%
CC3. Did not help	0	0.00%
CC3. N/A	0	0.00%

Service Quality Dimensions	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	Responses	Rating
SQD 0	0	0	0	0	60	0	60	100.00%
Service Quality Dimensions	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	Responses	Rating
Responsiveness	0	0	0	0	60	0	60	100.00%
Reliability	0	0	0	0	60	0	60	100.00%
Access and Facilities	0	0	0	0	60	0	60	100.00%
Communication	0	0	0	0	60	0	60	100.00%
Costs	0	0	0	0	60	0	60	100.00%
Integrity	0	0	0	0	60	0	60	100.00%
Assurance	0	0	0	0	60	0	60	100.00%
Outcome	0	0	0	0	60	0	60	100.00%
Overall	0	0	0	0	480	0	480	100.00%

EXTERNAL SERVICES	RESPONSES	TOTAL TRANSACTIONS		
Delivery inspection and acceptance	60	100		



10. UNIVERSITY HEALTH SERVICES

CITIZEN'S CHARTER	Responses	Percentage	
CC1. I know what a CC is, and I saw this office's CC	265	75.07%	
CC1. I know what a CC is, but I did NOT see this office's CC	11	3.12%	
CC1. I learned of the CC only when I saw this office's CC	38	10.76%	
CC1. I do not know what a CC is, and I did not see one in this office	39	11.05%	
CC2. Easy to see	280	79.32%	
CC2. Somewhat easy to see	36	10.20%	
CC2. Difficult to see	2	0.57%	
CC2. Not visible at all	5	1.42%	
CC2. N/A	30	8.50%	
CC3. Helped very much	289	81.87%	
CC3. Somewhat helped	29	8.22%	
CC3. Did not help	7	1.98%	
CC3, N/A	28	7.93%	

Service Quality Dimensions	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	Responses	Rating
SQD 0	12	2	1	77	260	1	353	95.74%
Service Quality Dimensions	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	Responses	Rating
Responsiveness	7	5	10	89	234	8	353	93.62%
Reliability	8	0	3	77	264	1	353	96.88%
Access and Facilities	6	1	3	77	240	26	353	96.94%
Communication	7	0	18	76	242	10	353	92.71%
Costs	11	2	4	28	112	196	353	89.17%
Integrity	6	1	3	70	264	9	353	97.09%
Assurance	7	1	3	75	266	1	353	96.88%
Outcome	6	1	2	83	253	8	353	97.39%
Overall	58	11	46	575	1875	259	2824	95.52%

EXTERNAL SERVICES	RESPONSES	TOTAL TRANSACTIONS	
Medical and dental consultation	353	4582	